• Classification Steady-State Cache Misses:

**The Three C’s of cache Misses:**

  • Compulsory Misses
  • Capacity Misses
  • Conflict Misses

• Techniques To Improve Cache Performance:
  • Reduce Miss Rate
    – Reduce one or more of the three C’s.
  • Reduce Cache Miss Penalty
  • Reduce Cache Hit Time
Types of Cache Misses: *The Three C’s*

1. **Compulsory:** On the first access to a block; the block must be brought into the cache; also called cold start misses, or first reference misses.

2. **Capacity:** Occur because blocks are being discarded from cache because cache cannot contain all blocks needed for program execution (program working set is much larger than cache capacity).

3. **Conflict:** In the case of set associative or direct mapped block placement strategies, conflict misses occur when several blocks are mapped to the same set or block frame; also called collision misses or interference misses.
The 3 Cs of Cache:

Absolute Steady State Miss Rates (SPEC92)
The 3 Cs of Cache:
Relative Steady State Miss Rates (SPEC92)
Improving Cache Performance

Goal: Reduce AMAT

• Lower AMAT implies better cache performance
• Example: For single unified level of cache L1:

\[
AMAT = \text{Hit Rate} \times \text{Hit Time} + \text{Miss Rate} \times \text{Miss Penalty M}
\]

How?

• Reduce Miss Rate (i.e Increase Hit Rate)
• Reduce Cache Miss Penalty
• Reduce Cache Hit Time
Improving Cache Performance

- **Miss Rate Reduction Techniques:**
  - Increased cache capacity
  - Higher associativity
  - Hardware prefetching of instructions and data
  - Compiler-controlled prefetching
  - Larger block size
  - Victim caches
  - Pseudo-associative Caches
  - Compiler optimizations

- **Cache Miss Penalty Reduction Techniques:**
  - Giving priority to read misses over writes
  - Early restart and critical word first
  - Multiple Cache Levels (L_2, L_3 ..)
  - Sub-block placement
  - Non-blocking caches

- **Cache Hit Time Reduction Techniques:**
  - Small and simple caches
  - Avoiding address translation during cache indexing
  - Pipelining writes for fast write hits
Miss Rate Reduction Techniques: Larger Block Size

- A larger block size improves cache performance by taking advantage of spatial locality reducing compulsory misses.
- However, for a fixed cache size, larger block sizes mean fewer cache block frames
  - Performance keeps improving to a limit when the fewer number of cache block frames increases conflict misses and thus overall cache miss rate

For SPEC92

Block Size (bytes)

0% 5% 10% 15% 20% 25%

1K 4K 16K 64K 256K
Miss Rate Reduction Techniques:

Higher Cache Associativity

- A higher degree of associativity reduces miss rates by reducing conflict misses.
- However, once conflict misses are reduced or eliminated, increasing the degree of associativity will not result in further miss rate reduction and may result in increased clock cycle time (and hit time) due to the additional complexity.

Example: Percent miss rates for various cache sizes and degrees of associativity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cache Size (KB)</th>
<th>1-way</th>
<th>2-way</th>
<th>4-way</th>
<th>8-way</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this case most conflict misses are eliminated by 2-way or 4-way cache.

For SPEC2000

Summarized from Figure 5.14 page 424
Miss Rate Reduction Techniques: Victim Caches

- Data discarded from cache is placed in an added small buffer (victim cache).
- On a cache miss check victim cache for data before going to main memory.
- According to Jouppi [1990]: A 4-entry victim cache removed 20% to 95% of conflict misses for a 4 KB direct mapped data cache.
- Used in Alpha, HP PA-RISC CPUs.

- Reduces conflict misses
- Can also be considered as a miss penalty reduction technique
**Miss Rate Reduction Techniques:**

**Pseudo-Associative Cache**

- Attempts to combine the fast hit time of Direct Mapped cache and have the lower conflict misses of 2-way set-associative cache.
- Divide cache in two parts: On a cache miss, check other half of cache to see if data is there, if so have a pseudo-hit (slow hit)
- Easiest way to implement is to invert the most significant bit of the index field to find other block in the “pseudo set”.

**Drawback:** CPU pipelining is hard to implement effectively if \(L_1\) cache hit takes 1 or 2 cycles.
  - Better used for caches not tied directly to CPU (\(L_2\) cache).
  - Used in MIPS R1000 \(L_2\) cache, also similar \(L_2\) in UltraSPARC.

Similar to Exclusive L1, L2 ?
Miss Rate Reduction Techniques:

**Hardware Prefetching of Instructions And Data**

- Prefetch instructions and data before they are needed by the CPU either into cache (into L2 usually) or into an external prefetching buffer.
  - Reduces compulsory misses.

- Example: The Alpha APX 21064 fetches two blocks on a miss: The requested block into cache and the next consecutive block in an instruction stream buffer.

- The same concept is applicable to data accesses using a data buffer.

- Extended to use multiple data stream buffers prefetching at different addresses (four streams improve data hit rate by 43%).

- It has been shown that, in some cases, eight stream buffers that can handle data or instructions can capture 50-70% of all misses.
Compiler cache optimizations improve access locality (spatial and/or temporal) characteristics of the generated code and include:

- **Reorder procedures**: in memory to reduce conflict misses.
- **Merging Arrays**: Improve spatial locality by single array of compound elements vs. 2 arrays.
- **Loop Interchange**: Change nesting of loops to access data in the order stored in memory.
- **Loop Fusion**: Combine 2 or more independent loops that have the same looping and some variables overlap.
- **Blocking**: Improve temporal locality by accessing “blocks” of data repeatedly vs. going down whole columns or rows.
Miss Rate Reduction Techniques: Compiler-Based Cache Optimizations

Merging Arrays Example

/* Before: 2 sequential arrays */
int val[SIZE];
int key[SIZE];

/* After: 1 array of structures */
struct merge {
    int val;
    int key;
};
struct merge merged_array[SIZE];

Merging the two arrays:
– Reduces conflicts between val and key
– Improve spatial locality (reduces compulsory misses)
**Miss Rate Reduction Techniques: Compiler-Based Cache Optimizations**

**Loop Interchange Example**

Assuming row-major matrix memory allocation

/* Before */
for (k = 0; k < 100; k = k+1)
    for (j = 0; j < 100; j = j+1)
        for (i = 0; i < 5000; i = i+1)
            \[x[i][j] = 2 * x[i][j];\]

/* After */
for (k = 0; k < 100; k = k+1)
    for (i = 0; i < 5000; i = i+1)
        for (j = 0; j < 100; j = j+1)
            \[x[i][j] = 2 * x[i][j];\]

Sequential accesses instead of striding through memory every 100 words in this case improves **spatial locality**
(reduces compulsory misses)
Miss Rate Reduction Techniques: Compiler-Based Cache Optimizations

Loop Fusion Example

/* Before */
for (i = 0; i < N; i = i+1)
    for (j = 0; j < N; j = j+1)
        a[i][j] = 1/b[i][j] * c[i][j];
for (i = 0; i < N; i = i+1)
    for (j = 0; j < N; j = j+1)
        d[i][j] = a[i][j] + c[i][j];

/* After */
for (i = 0; i < N; i = i+1)
    for (j = 0; j < N; j = j+1)
    {
        a[i][j] = 1/b[i][j] * c[i][j];
        d[i][j] = a[i][j] + c[i][j];
    }

- Two misses per access to a & c versus one miss per access
- Improves spatial and temporal locality.

Possible Misses
Likely hits for a[i][j], c[i][j]
(Reduces capacity misses)
Miss Rate Reduction Techniques: Compiler-Based Cache Optimizations

Data Access Blocking

/* Before No Blocking*/
for (i = 0; i < N; i = i+1)
    for (j = 0; j < N; j = j+1)
        {r = 0;
         for (k = 0; k < N; k = k+1){
             r = r + y[i][k]*z[k][j];
         }
         x[i][j] = r;
    }

- Two Inner Loops (to produce row i of X):
  - Read all NxN elements of z[ ]
  - Read N elements of 1 row of y[ ] repeatedly
  - Write N elements of 1 row of x[ ]

- Capacity Misses can be represented as a function of N & Cache Size:
  - Cache size > 3 NxNx4 => no capacity misses; otherwise ...

- Idea: compute BxB submatrix that fits in cache

Example: Matrix Multiplication

\[ X = Y \times Z \]
Matrix Sizes = N x N
Miss Rate Reduction Techniques: Compiler-Based Cache Optimizations

Example: Non-Blocked Matrix Multiplication

/* Before Blocking*/
for (i = 0; i < 12; i = i+1)
    for (j = 0; j < 12; j = j+1)
    {
        r = 0;
        for (k = 0; k < 12; k = k+1)
        {
            r = r + y[i][k]*z[k][j];
        }
        x[i][j] = r;
    }

Example: Non-Blocked Matrix Multiplication

X = Y x Z
N = 12
i.e Matrix Sizes = 12 x 12

Inner Loop:
Vector dot product or row i of Y and column j of Z
to form a result matrix element x[i][j]

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11
0 X X X X X X X X X X X X
1 X X X X X X X X X X X X
2 X X X X X X X X X X X X
3 X X X X X X X X X X X X
4 X X X X X X X X X X X X
5 X X X X X X X X X X X X
6 X X X X X X X X X X X X
7 X X X X X X X X X X X X
8 X X X X X X X X X X X X
9 X X X X X X X X X X X X
10 X X X X X X X X X X X X
11 X X X X X X X X X X X X

X = Y x Z

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11
0 Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
1 Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
2 Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
3 Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
4 Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
5 Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
6 Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
7 Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
8 Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
9 Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
10 Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
11 Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11
0 Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z
1 Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z
2 Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z
3 Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z
4 Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z
5 Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z
6 Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z
7 Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z
8 Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z
9 Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z
10 Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z
11 Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z
Miss Rate Reduction Techniques: Compiler-Based Cache Optimizations

Data Access Blocking (continued)

/* After Blocking */

for (jj = 0; jj < N; jj = jj+B)
for (kk = 0; kk < N; kk = kk+B)
for (i = 0; i < N; i = i+1)
    for (j = jj; j < min(jj+B,N); j = j+1)
        {r = 0;
         for (k = kk; k < min(kk+B,N); k = k+1) {
             r = r + y[i][k]*z[k][j];
         }
         x[i][j] = x[i][j] + r;
        }

• B is called the Blocking Factor
• Blocking improves temporal locality by maximizing access to data while still in cache before it’s replaced (reduces capacity misses)
• Capacity Misses from $2N^3 + N^2$ (worst case) to $2N^3/B + N^2$
• Also improves spatial locality and may also affect conflict misses
Miss Rate Reduction Techniques: Compiler-Based Cache Optimizations

Example: Blocked Matrix Multiplication

```c
/* After Blocking*/
for (jj = 0; jj < 12; jj = jj + 4)
for (kk = 0; kk < 12; kk = kk + 4)
for (i = 0; i < 12; i = i+1)
for (j = jj; j < min(jj+4,12); j = j+1)
{r = 0;
 for (k = kk; k < min(kk+4,12); k = k+1) {
    r = r + y[i][k]*z[k][j];
};
 x[i][j] = x[i][j] + r;
};
```

$$X = Y \times Z$$

Matrix Sizes = 12 x 12  
Assume Blocking Factor B = 4  
jj = 0, 4, 8  
nk = 0, 4, 8

0 1 2   3  4  5  6  7   8   9 10 11
0 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X
1 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X
2 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X
3 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X
4 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X
5 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X
6 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X
7 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X
8 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X
9 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X
10 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X
11 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X

X = Y x Z

X = Y x Z
Compiler-Based Cache Optimizations

Performance Improvement (Speedup)

- merged arrays
- loop interchange
- loop fusion
- blocking

Programs:
- vpenta (nasa7)
- gmtty (nasa7)
- tomatcv
- btrix (nasa7)
- mxm (nasa7)
- spice
- cholesky (nasa7)
- compress
Miss Penalty Reduction Techniques:

Giving Priority To Read Misses Over Writes

- Write-through cache with write buffers suffers from RAW conflicts with main memory reads on cache misses:
  - Write buffer holds updated data needed for the read.
  - One solution is to simply wait for the write buffer to empty, increasing read miss penalty (in old MIPS 1000 by 50% ).
  - Check write buffer contents before a read; if no conflicts, let the memory access continue.

- For write-back cache, on a read miss replacing dirty block:
  - Normally: Write dirty block to memory, and then do the read.
  - Instead copy the dirty block to a write buffer, then do the read, and then do the write.
    - CPU stalls less (from 2M down to M) since it restarts soon after the read.
Miss Penalty Reduction Techniques:

Sub-Block Placement

• Divide a cache block frame into a number of sub-blocks.
• Include a valid bit per sub-block of cache block frame to indicate validity of sub-block.
  - Originally used to reduce tag storage (fewer block frames).
• No need to load a full block on a miss just the needed sub-block.
Miss Penalty Reduction Techniques:

Early Restart and Critical Word First

• Don’t wait for full block to be loaded before restarting CPU:
  – *Early restart:* As soon as the requested word of the block arrives, send it to the CPU and let the CPU continue execution.
  – *Critical Word First:* Request the missed word first from memory and send it to the CPU as soon as it arrives.
    • Let the CPU continue execution while filling the rest of the words in the block.
    • Also called *wrapped fetch* and *requested word first*.

• Generally useful only for caches with *large block sizes*.
• Programs with a high degree of spatial locality tend to require a number of sequential word, and may not benefit by early restart.
Non-Blocking Caches

Non-blocking cache or lockup-free cache allows data cache to continue to supply cache hits during the processing of a miss:

- Requires an out-of-order execution CPU.
- “hit under miss” reduces the effective miss penalty by working during misses vs. ignoring CPU requests.
- “hit under multiple miss” or “miss under miss” may further lower the effective miss penalty by overlapping multiple misses.
- Significantly increases the complexity of the cache controller as there can be multiple outstanding memory accesses.
- Requires multiple memory banks to allow multiple memory access requests.
- Example: Intel Pentium III allows up to 4 outstanding memory misses.
Value of Hit Under Miss For SPEC

Average Memory Access Time (A.M.A.T)

Hit Under i Misses

0->1
1->2
2->64
Base

0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2

epntott espresso xilsp compress modjsp2 ear fpppp tomcatv smw256 docuc su2cor wav5 modjpb2 hydro2d alvmln nust7 spice2g6 ora
Cache Miss Penalty Reduction Techniques:

Second-Level Cache (L₂)

- By adding another cache level between the original cache and memory:
  - The first level of cache (L₁) can be small enough to be placed on-chip to match the CPU clock rate.
  - The second level of cache (L₂) is large enough to capture a large percentage of accesses.

- When adding a second level of cache:
  - Average memory access time = Hit time_{L₁} + Miss rate_{L₁} x Miss penalty_{L₁}
  - Miss penalty_{L₁} = Hit time_{L₂} + Miss rate_{L₂} x Miss penalty_{L₂}

- Local miss rate: the number of misses in the cache divided by the total number of accesses to this cache (i.e. Miss rate_{L₂} above).
- Global miss rate: The number of misses in the cache divided by the total accesses by the CPU (i.e. the global miss rate for the second level cache is Miss rate_{L₁} x Miss rate_{L₂})

Example:

Given 1000 memory references 40 misses occurred in L₁ and 20 misses in L₂
The miss rate for L₁ (local or global) = 40/1000 = 4%
The global miss rate for L₂ = 20 / 1000 = 2%

(Multiple cache levels already covered last Lecture in more detail)
L₂ Performance Equations

\[ AMAT = \text{Hit Time}_{L1} + \text{Miss Rate}_{L1} \times \text{Miss Penalty}_{L1} \]

\[ \text{Miss Penalty}_{L1} = \text{Hit Time}_{L2} + \text{Miss Rate}_{L2} \times \text{Miss Penalty}_{L2} \]

\[ AMAT = \text{Hit Time}_{L1} + \text{Miss Rate}_{L1} \times (\text{Hit Time}_{L2} + \text{Miss Rate}_{L2} \times \text{Miss Penalty}_{L2}) \]

(Multiple cache levels already covered last Lecture in more detail)
Cache Miss Penalty Reduction Techniques:

3 Levels of Cache, $L_1$, $L_2$, $L_3$

- CPU
- L1 Cache
  - Hit Rate = $H_1$, Hit time = 1 cycle
- L2 Cache
  - Hit Rate = $H_2$, Hit time = $T_2$ cycles
- L3 Cache
  - Hit Rate = $H_3$, Hit time = $T_3$
- Main Memory

Memory access penalty, $M$

(Multiple cache levels already covered last Lecture in more detail)
L3 Performance Equations

\[ \text{AMAT} = \text{Hit Time}_{L1} + \text{Miss Rate}_{L1} \times \text{Miss Penalty}_{L1} \]

\[ \text{Miss Penalty}_{L1} = \left( \text{Hit Time}_{L2} + \text{Miss Rate}_{L2} \times \text{Miss Penalty}_{L2} \right) \]

\[ \text{Miss Penalty}_{L2} = \left( \text{Hit Time}_{L3} + \text{Miss Rate}_{L3} \times \text{Miss Penalty}_{L3} \right) \]

\[ \text{AMAT} = \text{Hit Time}_{L1} + \text{Miss Rate}_{L1} \times (\text{Hit Time}_{L2} + \text{Miss Rate}_{L2} \times (\text{Hit Time}_{L3} + \text{Miss Rate}_{L3} \times \text{Miss Penalty}_{L3})) \]

(Multiple cache levels already covered last Lecture in more detail)
Hit Time Reduction Techniques:

**Pipelined Writes**

- Pipeline *tag check* and *cache update* as separate stages; current write tag check & previous write cache update
- Only STORES in the pipeline; empty during a miss

```
Store r2, (r1)  Check r1
Add
Sub
Store r4, (r3)  M[r1]<-
   r2&
```

- Shaded is "**Delayed Write Buffer**"; which must be checked on reads; either complete write or read from buffer
Hit Time Reduction Techniques:

Avoiding Address Translation

• Send virtual address to cache: Called **Virtually Addressed Cache** or just **Virtual Cache** vs. **Physical Cache**
  – Every time process is switched logically the cache must be flushed; otherwise it will return false hits
  • Cost is time to flush + “compulsory” misses from empty cache
  – Dealing with **aliases** (sometimes called **synonyms**); Two different virtual addresses map to same physical address
  – I/O must interact with cache, so need virtual address

• Solution to aliases:
  – HW guarantees covers index field & direct mapped, they must be unique; this is called **page coloring**

• Solution to cache flush:
  – Add **process identifier tag** that identifies a process as well as address within process: can’t get a hit if wrong process
Hit Time Reduction Techniques:

Virtually Addressed Caches

Conventional Organization

Virtually Addressed Cache

Translate only on miss

Synonym Problem

Overlap $ access with VA translation: requires $ index to remain invariant across translation

$ = Cache   TB = Address Translation Buffer

VA = Virtual Address   PA = Physical Address

EECC551 - Shaaban
## Cache Optimization Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Miss rate</th>
<th>Miss Penalty</th>
<th>Hit time</th>
<th>MR</th>
<th>MP</th>
<th>HT</th>
<th>Complexity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Larger Block Size</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher Associativity</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victim Caches</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo-Associative Caches</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW Prefetching of Instr/Data</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compiler Controlled Prefetching</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compiler Reduce Misses</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priority to Read Misses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subblock Placement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Restart &amp; Critical Word 1st</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Blocking Caches</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Level Caches</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small &amp; Simple Caches</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoiding Address Translation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipelining Writes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>